Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service

memorandum

CC:NER:MAN:TL-N-137-00

PLDarcy

date:

to: District Director, Manhattan

Examination Division

Attn: Mr. Lawrence Paduano

from: District Counsel, Manhattan

subject:

Tax Years Ended November , November , November , November , November , and .

Determination of the Tax Matters Partner

Uniform Issue List # 6229.02-00 and 6231.07-00

THIS DOCUMENT MAY INCLUDE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT AND DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGES, AND MAY ALSO HAVE BEEN PREPARED IN ANTICIPATION OF LITIGATION. THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE DISCLOSED TO ANYONE OUTSIDE THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, INCLUDING THE TAXPAYERS INVOLVED, AND ITS USE WITHIN THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SHOULD BE LIMITED TO THOSE WITH A NEED TO REVIEW THE DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE CASE DISCUSSED HEREIN. THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO TAX INFORMATION OF THE INSTANT TAXPAYERS WHICH IS SUBJECT TO I.R.C. § 6103.

This memorandum responds to your request for advice on determining who may execute a Form 872-P on behalf of ("Partnership"), a New York partnership subject to the uniform partnership audit procedures, I.R.C. § 6221 et. seq. The advice rendered in this memorandum is conditioned on the accuracy of the facts presented to us. This advice is subject to National Office review. We will contact you within two weeks of the date of this memorandum to discuss the National Office's comments, if any, about this advice.

ISSUE:

1. Who may execute a Form 872-P on behalf of the Partnership for the taxable years ended November , November and and ?

CONCLUSION:

In this case, only the tax matters partner ("TMP") of the Partnership may execute an 872-P. We conclude that is currently the TMP of the Partnership for the taxable years ended November, November and November and we conclude that is currently the Partnership's TMP.

FACTS:

THE ADVICE IS RENDERED ON THE BASIS THAT ALL THE REPRESENTATIONS AND FACTS IN THIS MEMORANDUM ARE CORRECT. WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU VERIFY THIS INFORMATION. IF ANY OF THE REPRESENTATIONS AND/OR FACTS ARE INCORRECT OR CANNOT BE SUBSTANTIATED, WE MAY NEED TO MODIFY OUR ADVICE.

A. INTRODUCTION

The Examination Division is currently auditing the taxable years ended November through of the Partnership, a partnership subject to the uniform partnership audit procedures. I.R.C. § 6221 et. seq. The parties seek to extend the statute of limitations on assessment for these periods. You have requested our advice to assist you in identifying who may execute Forms 872-P on behalf of the Partnership. The statutes of limitation for the taxable years ended November and November expire on The statutes of limitation for the . subsequent taxable years expire subsequent to In a memorandum dated , the Partnership's counsel, , urges the Internal Revenue

Service to accept the "designation" by (" ") of ") of "

as the TMP for the taxable years ended November ", ", ", November ", " and November ", " and November ", " and November ", " and November ", November ",

however, we agree that the current TMP for the Partnership's tax years ended November . November and is . To assist you in responding to the Partnership, we have attached the body of a proposed letter that you can provide to the Partnership explaining the position of the Internal Revenue Service in this matter.

B. The Tax Matters Partner Of The Partnership

On its Federal partnership income tax returns ("Forms 1065") for the taxable years ended November , November and November , the Partnership designated , in her personal capacity, as the TMP. On its Forms 1065 for the taxable years ended November , November and , the Partnership designated as the TMP. still remains in existence.

Prior to , the Partnership had several general partners, including and ("").

In November and again in November , each general partner of the Partnership executed a Power of Attorney and Consent ("POA") authorizing a member of several partner to act on their behalf in connection with respect to the Partnership.

At the beginning of the tax year ended November , and other general partners ceased to be partners of the Partnership. The Partnership's memorandum does not give details on this change of partners. However, as a result of this partnership change, and became the sole general partners of the Partnership. 1

Pursuant to I.R.C. §§ 6621 and 6231(a)(1)(B)(i), the uniform partnership proceedings do not apply to any partnership having 10 or fewer partners each of whom is an individual (other than a nonresident alien), a C corporation, or an estate of a deceased partner ("small partnership exception"). If the uniform partnership proceedings do not apply, the statute of limitations is determined on the partner level. See I.R.C. § 6501. In this case, the facts indicate that partnership called was a partner of the Partnership. Accordingly, the small partnership exception to the uniform partnership does not apply and the statute of limitations must be extended at the partnership level. Prop. Treas. Reg. §301.6231(a)(1)-1(a)(2). However, it is imperative that in this case and in the cases of the other

CC:NER:MAN:TL-N-137-00 , the Management Committee of was renamed the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee was comprised of former general partners of the Partnership and current officers of , the Executive Committee of passed the following resolution ostensibly designating a new TMP of the Partnership: The Executive Committee designates as the TMP with respect to the Internal Revenue Service examination of the United States Partnership Income Tax Returns of [the Partnership] for the fiscal years ended November , November , November , and November , in her capacity of Executive Vice President will act on behalf of (hereinafter we refer to this document as the "Executive Committee Resolution"). executed the Executive Committee Resolution on behalf of the Executive Committee. At the time, was the Executive Vice Chairman of and the member of the Executive Committee who generally dealt with tax matters. Shortly thereafter, in submitted the Executive Committee Resolution to the Examination Division. The Partnership never filed the Executive Committee Resolution with any Internal Revenue Service service center. DISCUSSION: THE PROPER PERSON TO EXECUTE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE FORMS 872-P ON BEHALF OF THE PARTNERSHIP FOR THE TAXABLE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER , NOVEMBER AND NOVEMBER | The Partnership's Forms 1065 for the <u>taxable</u> years ended November and November specifically designated in her personal capacity, as the TMP. We believe that in her personal capacity as TMP, is the only proper party to execute any Forms 872-P for these taxable years. I.R.C. § 6229(b)(1)(B). However, does not want to execute future Forms 872-P and the Partnership has argued

partnerships that you determine whether the small partnership exception applies.

may execute all future Forms 872-P for these periods. We advise you that for the taxable years ended November , November and November , you should only accept Forms 872-P executed by individually as TMP, unless, and until, the Partnership properly designates a new TMP or gives another person the authority to execute a valid Form 872-P.

Pursuant to I.R.C. § 6229(b)(1)(B) the Internal Revenue Service can extend the statute of limitations with respect to the assessment of partnership items by entering into an agreement with the tax matters partner (or any other person authorized by the partnership in writing to enter into such an agreement) before the expiration of such period. (Emphasis added). Treasury Regulation § 301.6229(b)-1 states that:

Any partnership may authorize any person to extend the period described in section 6229(a) with respect to all partners by filing a statement to that effect with the service center with which the partnership return is filed. The statement shall:

- (a) Provide that it is an authorization for a person other than the TMP to extend the assessment period with respect to all partners,
- (b) Identify the partnership and the person being authorized by name, address, and taxpayer identification number,
- (c) Specify the partnership taxable year or years for which the authorization is effective, and
- (d) Be signed by all persons who were general partners at any time during the year or years for which the authorization is effective.

We do not believe the Executive Committee Resolution complies with Treasury Regulation § 301.6229(b)-1. First, the Partnership never filed this document with the service center where it filed its Forms 1065. Second, this document does not specifically authorize to extend the assessment period with respect to all partners as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6229(b)-1(a). Third, the document does not identify address and taxpayer identification number as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6229(b)-1(b). Finally, the document

is executed only by a representative of the ________'s Executive Committee and not by "all persons who were general partners at any time during the year...for which the authorization is effective (emphasis added)" as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6229(b)-1(d). Since the Partnership never authorized a person other than the TMP to execute a Form 872-P for the taxable years ended November ______, November ______ and November ______, only the TMP can execute a Form 872-P for these years.

2. WHO IS THE PARTNERSHIP'S TMP FOR THE TAXABLE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER ., NOVEMBER AND NOVEMBER

Pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(a) a partnership may designate a partner as its TMP or revoke a current TMP's status only as provided for in Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1. (Emphasis added). On its Forms 1065 for the taxable years ended November , November and November , the Partnership properly designated as its TMP. Treas. Reg. \$ 301.6231(a)(7)-1(c). TMP for these taxable years remains in effect until such time as she properly resigns as TMP pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(i); the Partnership makes a valid designation of a new TMP pursuant to Treasury Regulations §§ 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d), (e) or (f); or the Partnership revokes 's TMP designation pursuant to Treasury Regulation § $301.6231(a)(7)-1(j).^2$ Treas. Reg. §§ 301.6231(a)(7)-1(L)(1)(v)(A-C). Although the Partnership argues that it complied with the provisions of Treasury Regulations §§ 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d) and (e), we disagree.

Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(j) permits the Partnership to revoke 's TMP designation by filing a statement of revocation with the service center. The content requirements of such a revocation essentially mirror those of Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(e) dealing with designating a TMP. Since the Partnership has not filed any document purporting to revoke 's TMP status, we will not provide a detailed discussion on the revocation issue. However, we do conclude that the Executive Committee Resolution submitted by the Partnership does not constitute a revocation of 's TMP status pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(j). Additionally, never executed any document that the Internal Revenue Service could reasonably consider a resignation under Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(i).

Pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(e), a partnership may designate a TMP for a specific taxable year at any time after the filing of its Form 1065 for that taxable year by filing a statement with the service center with which the Form 1065 was filed. According to the Treasury Regulations, this statement shall:

- (1) Identify the Partnership and the designated partner by name, address, and taxpayer identification number;
- (2) Specify the Partnership taxable year to which the designation relates;
- (3) Declare that it is a designation of a TMP for the taxable years specified; and
- (4) Be signed by persons who were general partners at the close of the year and were shown on the return for that year to hold more than 50 percent of the aggregate interest in partnership profits held by all general partners as of the close of that taxable year.

The Executive Committee Resolution purporting to designate as the new TMP clearly does not comply with Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(e) and, therefore, is not effective. The Partnership never filed the designation with the service center where it filed its Forms 1065. Furthermore, the designation does not identify s address and taxpayer identification number as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(e)(1).

Most important, the designation is executed only by an a representative of secutive Committee, and not by "persons who were general partners at the close of the year and were shown on the return for that year to hold more than 50 percent of the aggregate interest in partnership profits held by all general partners as of the close of that taxable year" as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a) (7)-1(e) (4). The Partnership appears to assume that signature can substitute for the Treasury Regulation's requirement that any designation be signed by the general partners holding more than 50 percent of the aggregate interest in the Partnership's profits. We found nothing in New York law concerning the authority of a partnership committee such as the Executive Committee in this case. However, the issue in this case is strictly a Federal tax law issue governed by the Internal Revenue

Code and accompanying Treasury Regulations. Additionally, the applicable Treasury Regulations do not permit another to act on behalf of a general partner through a POA; each general partner must execute any document purporting to designate a new TMP. Accordingly, we do not believe that the Partnership properly designated as TMP.

The Partnership further argues that ______, as TMP, properly "designated" a new TMP by tendering the Executive Committee Resolution to the District Director. Treasury Regulation \$301.6231(a)(7)-1(d) permits a current TMP to certify that a new TMP has been properly selected. Treasury Regulation \$301.6231(a)(7)-1(d) does not permit a TMP to actually designate a new TMP. Pursuant to Treasury Regulation \$301.6231(a)(7)-1(d), the current tax matters partner shall make the certification by filing with the service center with which the Partnership return is filed a statement that--

- (1) Identifies the Partnership, the partner filing the statement, and the successor tax matters partner by name, address, and taxpayer identification number;
- (2) Specifies the Partnership taxable year to which the designation relates;
- (3) Declares that the partner filing the statement has been properly designated as the tax matters partner of the Partnership for the Partnership taxable year and that that designation is in effect immediately before the filing of the statement;
- (4) Certifies that the other named partner has been selected as the tax matters partner of the Partnership for that taxable year in accordance with the Partnership's procedure for making that selection; and
 - (5) Is signed by the partner filing the statement.

The Executive Committee Resolution purporting to certify

as the new TMP clearly does not comply with Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d) and, therefore, is not effective. First, it does not provide saddress and taxpayer identification number as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d)(1). Second, it does not specifically certify that has been selected as the TMP in accordance with the Partnership's procedure for making that selection as required by Treasury Regulation §

301.6231(a)(7)-1(d)(1)(4). Finally, it was not signed by the partner filing the statement, as required by Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d)(1)(5).

3. WHO IS THE PARTNERSHIP'S TMP FOR THE TAXABLE YEARS ENDED NOVEMBER AND AND

On its Forms 1065 for the taxable years ended November November and , the Partnership properly designated as its TMP. Treas. Reg. § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(c). designation as TMP for these taxable years remains in effect until such time as it properly resigns as TMP pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(i); the Partnership makes a valid designation of a new TMP pursuant to Treasury Regulations §§ 301.6231(a)(7)-1(d), (e) or (f); the Partnership revokes The 's TMP designation pursuant to Treasury Regulation § 301.6231(a)(7)-1(j); or Treas. Reg. §§ liquidates or dissolves. 301.6231(a)(7) - 1(L)(1)(iii) and (v)(A-C). Since the facts indicate that none of these events occurred, we believe that is the Partnership's current TMP for taxable years ended November , November

We again remind you that this advice, including the proposed letter to the taxpayer attached hereto, is subject to review by the National Office. As discussed on page one, we will contact you within two weeks of the date of this memorandum to discuss any comments the National Office may have regarding this advice. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Paul Darcy at (212) 264-5473 extension 256.

LINDA R. DETTERY District Counsel

By:

PETER J. LABELLE Assistant District Counsel

Noted:

Linda R. Dettery District Counsel

cc: Paulette Segal

Assistant Regional Counsel (LC) (by e-mail)

Mary Helen Weber

Assistant Regional Counsel (LC) (by e-mail)

Michael P. Corrado

Assistant Regional Counsel (TL) (by e-mail)

Theodore R. Leighton Assistant District Counsel